



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,349	03/22/2004	Brian Wang	BHT-3117-191	8993
7:	590 08/16/2004		EXAM	INER
TROXELL L	AW OFFICE PLLC		NASH, B	RIAN D
SUITE 1404				
5205 LEESBURG PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			3721	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/805,349	WANG, BRIAN	CM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	— VI ———			
	Brian D Nash	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ma	arch 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	tage			
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "Said" begins with a capital in subsequent paragraphs of the claim. Each paragraph ends with a colon ":" instead of a semicolon ";". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There are several issues that render claim 1 indefinite.

The phrase "...said gunpoint having its interior transversely formed with..." at the end of the 2nd paragraph is vague, indefinite, and confusingly worded because it is not clear what applicant is claiming. Specifically, it is not clear what structural limitations are being claimed. The same is true for "...said gunpoint having one side transversely bored with..." at the beginning of the 4th paragraph.

The phrase "...extending outward horizontally and forming a pivotal portion..." in the middle of the 5th paragraph is not clear as there is no frame of reference defined. It is indefinite as to what the phrase is defining. Also, it is not clear how a "pivotal portion" is formed since neither the specifications or the drawings allude to any sort of pivotal element on the slot-sealing member.

Art Unit: 3721

Also in the 5th paragraph, there are two instances where an element has been previously defined, but later referred to in broader and indefinite terms. "...said sealing portion..." should properly be "...said slot sealing portion..." and "...said connect portion..." should properly be "...said vertical connect portion...".

Claim 1 also recites numerous instances of "its". In some instances it is simply not clear what "its" refers back to and in some other instances there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is also a lack of basis for "the outer side". The examiner suggests using terminology that more positively states the structural limitations of the device rather than using the phrase "having its" to define several structural elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,174,802 to Maestri. Insofar as the claimed invention is understood, Maestri discloses the same invention including a device usable with a nail gun and nail cartridge suitable for adjusting to nails of different size. Specifically Maestri shows a slot-sealing member (4) which permits relative movement via a through screw (16) in order to accommodate nails of different size (see Fig 3).

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Catalano, Haytayan, Kaneko, Ohuchi, Liang, Akiba, Schuster, and Yao are cited to show related references.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Nash whose telephone number is (703) 305-4959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached at 703 308-2187.

The fax number for this Group is: 703-872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Brian D. Nash 11 August 2004

SCOTT A. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER